

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/554,734	08/01/2000	Oded Bashan	BASHAN=7	2964
1444 7	590 02/13/2003			
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.			EXAMINER	
624 NINTH ST SUITE 300	·		FRANKLIN, JAM	ARA ALZAIDA
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2876	
			DATE MAILED: 02/13/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	pplicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/554,734	BASHAN ET	AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit	1 /			
		Jamara A. Franklir					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover		e address			
Period for Reply							
THE - Extraorder - If th - If N - Fail - Any earr	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. He period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply opened for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, a reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however y within the statutory mining will apply and will expire SI , cause the application to to	er, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be considered X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of secome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133	this communication.			
Status	December 45 communication(s) filed as 00 A						
1)⊠	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	tion of Claims		,				
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirem	ent.				
· · ·	tion Papers	_					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachme	nt(s)						
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 (5	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Pape Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/554,734

· Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgment is made of the receipt of the amendment filed on 11/22/02. Claims 1-18 are currently pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1 and 6-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Puschner et al. (DE 19707167A1) (hereinafter referred to as 'Puschner').

Puschner teaches a transmitting/receiving coil 3 provided on a top side of a base plate 2 of a module carrier 1. The coil 3 extends spirally around an integrated circuit 7 fitted onto a first terminal contact 4. A contactless card carrier 11 has a recess 12 for accommodating the module

Application/Control Number: 09/554,734

· Art Unit: 2876

carrier 1. Alternatively, the transmitting/receiving coil 3 can be disposed in two different planes with respect to the main direction in which the module carrier 1 extends. Provision is made for the coil 3 to be disposed on a surface of the module carrier 1 while another section may be disposed in a layer inside of the module carrier 1. The sections are connected to one another by contacts, e.g. plated-through holes.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Puschner in view of Ruell (US 4,532,508).

The teachings of Puschner have been discussed above.

Puschner lacks the discussion of an optical visual authentication mark applied to the second side of the substrate of the chip carrier module.

Ruell teaches an identification card carrying a photograph of an encoded hologram of the person's fingerprint (col. 3, lines 55-59).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized that encoding certain information into a hologram would have been beneficial as one of a variety of efficient means for securing the card by simply laying the encoded data upon the card. Therefore, it would have

Application/Control Number: 09/554,734

- Art Unit: 2876

been obvious, at the time the invention was made, to modify the teachings of Puschner with the encoded hologram as taught by Ruell.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The examiner notes that claims 2-5 that were objected to in the previous office action have now been rejected. Any inconvenience on the part of the applicant is regretted.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Emori et al. (US 6,378,774) teach an IC module and smart card.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamara A. Franklin whose telephone number is 703-305-0128. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G Lee can be reached on 703-305-3505. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703)308-7722 for After Final communications.

- Art Unit: 2876

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

amara A. Franklin

Examiner Art Unit 2876

JAF January 30, 2003

> MICHAEL G. LEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800